



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,721	02/12/2001	William Richard Dubrul	ARTM 1008-5 US	8945	
22470	7590 02/15/2002				
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 30 HALF MOO	66 ON BAY, CA 94019	SERKE, CATHERINE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 02/15/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/-
		09/781,721	DUBRUL ET AL.	(1
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Catherine Serke	3763	
		munication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addre	ss
Period fo	or Reply			
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM nsions of time may be available under the provinct (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for	isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minim um statutory period will apply and will expire SI3 reply will, by statute, cause the application to be nths after the mailing date of this communication.	or, may a reply be timely filed  IUM of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme	unication
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(	s) filed on <u>10 January 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-fina	al.	
3)□		dition for allowance except for for practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	mal matters, prosecution as to the n 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits i
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 26-55 is/are pending in	n the application.		
r	4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 and	d 39-55 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 26,28,29 and 31-38 is/a	are rejected.		
7)⊠	Claim(s) 30 is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	ent.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)[☐ accepted or b)[☐ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any	y objection to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings ar	re required in reply to this Office action	n.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.	•	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None	of:		
	1. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed.	
	•	ority documents have been receiv		
* 0	application from the In	oies of the priority documents hav nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17 action for a list of the certified cop		ige
		•	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	nlicatio
a	)   The translation of the foreign	n language provisional application time for domestic priority under 35	n has been received.	I
Attachmen	•	to dominate priority under 00	C.C.O. 33 TEO MINIOT TETT	
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/781,721

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 26 and 28-38 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 27 and 39-55 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26, 28-29 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dinh et al.

Dinh discloses a drug eluting stent that teaches a method including positioning a porous tubular mesh (40) comprising a contact-dispensable agent at a target site within a passageway of a body, expanding the mesh again the body by an axially compressible and radially expandable element (34), and dispensing the agent from the mesh (see 9:64-66). The method further includes selecting an absorbent fiber tubular mesh (fibrin), selecting an agent (heprin), and

Application/Control Number: 09/781,721

Art Unit: 3763

applying the agent to the fibers prior to positioning the stent (see 9:64-66). The stent can be positioned and released by a catheter (62).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinh et al.

Dinh meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include also positioning an inflatable balloon, deflating the balloon and moving the catheter to displace the balloon prior to positioning the stent at the target site. However, Dinh does disclose that the device as taught is for treatment of restenosis (see 2:37-39) and "restenosis is the reclosure of a peripheral or coronary artery following trauma to that artery caused by efforts to open a stenosed portion of the artery, such as, for example by balloon dilation..." (see 1:14-18).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to incorporate the method steps of positioning an inflatable balloon, deflating the balloon and moving the catheter to displace the balloon into the invention by Dinh since Dinh asserts that an angioplasty procedure may take place before the positioning of the stent. The motivation would have been in order to provide an occluded blood vessel with enhanced patency after an angioplasty procedure.

Dinh meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include a self-expanding scaffolding. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to substitute a self

Application/Control Number: 09/781,721

Art Unit: 3763

expanding scaffolding for the radially expandable element (34) since self-expanding stents are

well known in the art and would have been done in order to enhance the ease of placement of the

Page 4

stent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 30 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine Serke whose telephone number is 703-308-4846. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2192.

Catherine Serke %. February 11, 2002

Sharon Kennedy Sharon Kennedy Primary Examiner